

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,998	10/19/2001	Reinhard Lorenz	IN-12095	9873
7:	590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
Basf Corporation 1419 Biddle Avenue			SERGENT, RABON A	
Wyandotte, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1711	
		DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			in/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,998	LORENZ ĘT AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rabon Sergent	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory mini I will apply and will expire S te. cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered tim SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-fina ance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 7 is/are pending in the approximation (s) 1,2,5 and 7 is/are pending in the approximation (s) 1,2,5 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from considera		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) obj e drawing(s) be held ction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rece nts have been rece iority documents ha au (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No eve been received in this National (a)).	al Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/21/04. 	8) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:	TO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/019,998

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. Applicants' amendment of June 21, 2004 is improper, because canceled claims 9-16 are indicated as being "Withdrawn". Within page 3 of the entered amendment of February 9, 2004, claims 9-16 were canceled by applicants.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/27236 or Televantos et al. ('323).

Televantos et al. and WO 97/27236 disclose the production of polyether polyols and polyurethanes, including polyurethane foams, wherein, in the production of a polyether polyol, a multimetal cyanide catalyst is used in the oxyalkylation of an active hydrogen group containing initiator. The references further disclose that it is desirable that the initiator is an oligomer derived from the conventional oxyalkylation of monomeric initiators, and the references further disclose that the oxyalkylation of the monomeric initiators may be base catalyzed. Disclosed basic catalysts are sodium or potassium hydroxide. See column 7, lines 5-18 within Televantos et al. and page 12, lines 9-27 within WO 97/27236. The position is taken that these disclosures anticipate applicants' claims, because the basic catalyzed oligomeric initiators inherently contain a quantity of metal salts, corresponding to those claimed, which are present at the time of the subsequent multimetal cyanide catalyzed reaction. The inherently present salts stem from the neutralization of the basic catalysts with acids. It was known at the time of invention that basic catalysts within polyols are neutralized with acids to deactivate the catalysts and that the

Application/Control Number: 10/019,998

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

presence of strongly basic catalysts prevent the initiator's further use with multimetal cyanide catalysts. See page 12, line 12 within WO 97/27236 and the teachings within Parsons et al. ('749) at column 1, lines 57+ and Christen et al. ('548) at column 1, lines 15+ (These additional references have been relied upon only to support the position that the conventional basic catalyzed oxyalkylation reactions of WO 97/27236 or Televantos et al. employed the aforementioned neutralization step).

- 4. Despite applicants' response, the position is maintained that the examiner has set forth a reasonable rationale for holding that the claimed metal salts are present within the polyols of the relied upon references. Applicants have not provided any evidence to establish that the examiners' position is incorrect.
- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Rabon Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER